

IN RE YAZ, YASMIN, AND  
OCELLA PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION

BERGEN COUNTY

Case No. 287

**FILED**

JUN 30 2011

**BRIAN P. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.**

**ORDER ON DISCOVERY MOTIONS  
PURSUANT TO DEPOSITION  
PROTOCOL**

**THIS MATTER** having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion to strike the testimony of Bayer employees regarding their or their families' personal use of Yaz, Yasmin, or Ocella, or in the alternative to permit discovery; and Plaintiff's motion to compel the disclosure of documents reviewed by Bayer witnesses prior to giving testimony; [similar motions have been filed in the Multi-District Litigation and state courts]; for good cause shown;


For the reasons set forth in the record;

**IT IS** on this 30th day of June 2011;

**ORDERED,**

1. Plaintiffs' motion to strike the testimony of Bayer employees regarding their or their families' personal use of Yaz, Yasmin, or Ocella is GRANTED;
2. Plaintiffs' motion to compel the disclosure of documents reviewed by Bayer witnesses prior to giving testimony is GRANTED [the Court will incorporate by reference the disclosure process set by Judge Herndon in his June 29, 2011 order];

3. Plaintiffs' demand that Bayer identify the documents selected for review by defense counsel is DENIED;

---

BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.